

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/076,135 | Applicant(s) STERN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Jeffrey T. Barton | Art Unit 1753 | |

All Participants:

(1) Jeffrey T. Barton.

(2) Ann Peterson.

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 April 2006

Time: 1 pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner called to inquire whether a response had been filed to the Office Action of 30 September 2005. Ms. Peterson indicated that no response had been filed and that the case would have to be revived to continue prosecution.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)